



#31
325.03

PATENT
Docket No. 285.0081 0102

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Ty J. CASWELL et al.) Group Art Unit: 2732
Serial No.: 09/400,607) Examiner: D. Ton
Filed: 20 September 1999)

For: COMPUTER-BASED MULTIFUNCTION PERSONAL COMMUNICATION
SYSTEM WITH CALLER ID

RECEIVED

RESPONSE

MAR 24 2003

Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2600

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed November 5, 2002, Applicants respectfully submit the following remarks.

Remarks

The Office Action states that claims 1-19 are rejected. However, since claims 1-6 were cancelled in a preliminary amendment that accompanied the original patent application transmittal on 20 September 1998, it appears that the rejection should be only for claims 7-19. Thus, the remainder of this response will only consider claims 7-19.

The 35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejection

The Examiner rejected claims 7-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Long in view of Chow. The Examiner asserts that Long teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of "hanging up communication." However, the Examiner alleges that Chow teaches "a provision of Quick hang up" and that it would have been obvious to use the quick hang up of Chow in the network of Long for the purpose of terminating the unwanted list numbers.

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

266 #

Applicant(s): Caswell et al.

Group Art Unit: 2666

Serial No.: 09/400,607

Examiner: D. Ton

Filed: September 20, 1999

Docket No.: 285.00810102

Title: COMPUTER-BASED MULTIFUNCTION PERSONAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEM WITH CALLER ID

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2003

Technology Center 2600

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

We are transmitting the following documents along with this Transmittal Sheet (which is submitted in triplicate):

- ☒ An itemized return postcard.
☒ A Petition for Extension of Time for 1 month and a check in the amount of \$ 110.00 for the required fee.
☐ A check in the amount of \$ __, for __.
☐ A certified copy of a __ application, Serial No. __, filed ____, the right of priority of which is claimed under 35 U.S.C. §119.
☒ Other: Response to Office Action (4 pgs.)
Amendment ☐ No Additional fee is required. ☐ The fee has been calculated as shown:

Fee Calculation for Claims Pending After Amendment					
	Pending Claims after Amendment (1)	Claims Paid for Earlier (2)	Number of Additional Claims (1-2)	Cost per Additional Claim	Additional Fees Required
Total Claims				x \$18 =	
Independent Claims				x \$84 =	
One or More New Multiple Dependent Claims Presented? If Yes, Add \$280 Here →					
Total Additional Claim Fees Required					

Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers and please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4895. Triplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper(s), as described hereinabove, are being deposited in the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on this 5th day of March, 2003.

MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A.

Customer Number: 26813



26813

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

By:

Name: Mark J. Gebhardt

Reg. No.: 35,518

Direct Dial: 612-305-1216

Facsimile: 612-305-1228

(LARGE ENTITY TRANSMITTAL UNDER RULE 1.10)